The costs of IUU fishing to the EU
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Overview of research

The Pew Environment Group commissioned eftec – an environmental economics consultancy – to estimate the costs of IUU fishing to EU Member States; these costs were assumed to be significant but until now had not been calculated.

Modelling method

Simple surplus-production models were applied to key commercial groups representing 46 percent of fishing value in five Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs) around Europe. Simulations were carried out under various management scenarios, with IUU fishing rates ranging from zero to 90 percent. Using best estimates of actual IUU fishing levels for different commercial groups in different areas, costs of IUU fishing were assessed by comparing the IUU fishing case with a zero-IUU scenario.

Introduction

The full picture

Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) fishing is a serious threat to the sustainability of fisheries, globally and in Europe. The continued failure of the EU’s control and enforcement measures has significant consequences for fisheries’ resources, the fishing industry and the communities dependent on fishing.

What is IUU fishing?

There are many forms of IUU fishing, including fishing without a licence, mis-reporting of catches, fishing in closed areas or with illegal gear, and taking undersized fish. In a number of EU fisheries, IUU fishing accounts for one-third to one-half of all catches.

What are the costs of IUU fishing?

IUU fishing incurs many costs, such as depleted fish stocks; fewer jobs in fishing and processing; distorted fisheries data, leading to less effective management; likely extinction of sensitive species; and other impacts on ecosystem services, tourism and international negotiations. Some of these costs are difficult to quantify, however it is possible to use models to calculate the cost of reduced catches, job losses and depleted stocks from IUU fishing.

IUU levels in different LMEs

- **North Sea**: Cod: 50% IUU, All fisheries: up to 66% IUU
- **Baltic Sea**: Cod: 35-40% IUU, Herring: 35% IUU
- **Celtic-Biscay Shelf**: Cod: 30-60% IUU
- **Iberian Coastal**: Tuna: 40% IUU
- **Mediterranean**: Tuna and swordfish: 40-50% IUU
- **All Areas**: Higher risk species, including sharks: up to 75% IUU, Legal loophole: possible to under-declare by 36% without risk of punishment
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Environmental, economic and social costs to EU Member States are large and represent a significant proportion of fishing value.

Summary of key costs
The cost estimates for selected fish groups across the five LMEs sum to
- over €10 billion of lost catches by 2020
- over €8 billion of lost stock value in 2020, and
- over 27,000 lost jobs in fishing and processing industries.

In comparison, the value of all EU Member State fishing in these LMEs is about €6 billion each year. Moreover, these estimates, large as they are, do not represent the full cost as the analysis only included selected costs and key stocks with clear evidence of IUU fishing. The true costs of IUU fishing are therefore likely to be substantially higher.

Environmental cost
A key environmental cost is the damage to fish stocks. For instance in the North Sea, ending IUU fishing is projected to lead to increased fish stocks in 2020 worth more than €4 billion. The full environmental costs are likely to be far greater. This is because the estimate does not include all environmental costs, for instance the risk of extinction of target or by-catch species such as sharks and rays, or impacts on ecosystem services.

Economic cost
The total loss of catches from 2008 to 2020 arising from IUU fishing is likely to be more than twice the value of current annual fishing. In fact, the effect of stock depletion is so severe that by 2020 catches using the zero-IUU fishing scenario could be many times higher than when IUU fishing continues. Again the full economic costs are much greater, as the analysis does not include other economic impacts such as distorted competition, poorer data quality for management, or the increases in numbers of jelly fish on tourism.

Social cost
Depleted fish stocks lead to reduced employment in fishing and fish processing. Fisheries-related employment in EU Member States is currently more than 220,000. This figure could be at least 27,000 higher if IUU fishing was stopped. This is an average of projections for 2008–2020; the positive impact on employment from 2020 onwards would be even greater.

Costs of IUU fishing until 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member states</th>
<th>Landing value modelled</th>
<th>Stock value in € million</th>
<th>Annual value of landings in € million</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Employment 2008–2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>1,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>1,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1,948</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>3,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>8,855</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>27,818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In real terms %
The way forward

Catch limits in line with scientific advice will increase fish stocks and reduce the costs of IUU fishing.

**Fisheries management regimes and time horizons**

The total cost of IUU fishing is dependent on the management strategy for a given fishery and the time horizon. A management regime that sets catch limits according to scientific advice is better equipped to react to IUU fishing activity and to counter stock depletion. As a result, the cost of IUU fishing would be more moderate. Similarly, a number of costs are amplified over time. As a result, the cost of IUU fishing from 2020 onwards would be even greater than between 2008 and 2020.

**The principal finding**

The principal finding from the research is that there are two possible futures for EU fishing. If IUU fishing continues fish stocks will not recover from current depleted levels but decline further. If IUU activity is stopped then sound management could lead, for most commercial species, to restored fisheries approaching maximum sustainable yield levels within a short period of time.

For further information see the full report, *Costs of Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Fishing in EU Fisheries*, at: www.pewenvironment.eu/resources/costs_of_IUU.pdf

---

**Recommendations**

IUU fishing creates significant environmental, economic and social costs. The Pew Environment Group calls on European institutions and Member States to face the challenge of strengthening the EU control and enforcement regime by introducing:

- Meaningful sanctions for non-compliance;
- Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) on all fishing vessels regardless of their length;
- Independent observers and/or cameras onboard for specific fisheries with a consistent record of infringements, such as bluefin tuna;
- Central computerised repositories for all information relating to fisheries offences and their perpetrators; and
- Suspension of Community aid to the fisheries sector, including the granting of fishing rights under Fisheries Partnership Agreements, if a Member State fails to respect its control obligations.

In addition, the existing overcapacity in the European fleet – estimated to be about 40 percent – has to be removed as it inevitably leads to unprofitable fishing operations and strong incentives to bend or break the rules.
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Pirate, or Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU), fishing

- contributes to the depletion of fish stocks worldwide
- jeopardises the viability of resources
- destroys marine habitats
- distorts competition for legal fishermen, and
- threatens the survival of coastal communities in developing countries.

Our work in the EU is focused on the design and promotion of sustainable marine and fisheries policies, informed and guided by the most up-to-date and accurate scientific information.