

How Does Alabama's Public Employee Retirement System Perform?














Four key practices can put state's pensions on the path to long-term success

Overview

Alabama's public retirement system, like those in all states and localities, aims to balance providing plans that support government workforce objectives and ensuring that the cost of benefits is stable and sustainable over the long term, all while putting workers on a pathway to retirement security.

To help states identify whether they are on a solid path and provide a roadmap for improvement, The Pew Charitable Trusts developed its "model retirement framework," which articulates four key practices common to the nation's most successful systems: provide a path to retirement security, set and meet sustainable cost targets, plan for and manage risk, and ensure that policies are transparent and communicated to stakeholders.

Additionally, the framework outlines metrics for evaluating progress toward adoption of those practices. This fact sheet, which looks at Alabama, is part of a 50-state series in which Pew applies the metrics to each state's retirement systems to provide a benchmark from which to measure progress.

 Fiscal sustainability	 Retirement security	 Planning for uncertainty	 Investment transparency
 Positive or stable net amortization Yes	 Replacement income ratio of at least 90% No	 Risk reporting No	 Disclosures report returns net of fees No
 Operating cash flow above -5% Yes	 Savings rate of at least 10% for workers who are eligible for Social Security; 18% for workers who are not No	 Normal cost sensitivity High	 Investment policy statement publicly available Yes
 Historical contribution volatility within plus or minus 3% of payroll No			

Notes: Pew's metrics cover about 90% of public retirement assets nationally and were applied to all 50 states. They are not, however, inclusive of every system. For more information and methodology, see <https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/issue-briefs/2024/08/successful-retirement-systems-offer-a-roadmap-for-other-states>.

© 2025 The Pew Charitable Trusts

About the metrics

Fiscal sustainability: Do funding policies yield predictable and affordable costs?

- Net amortization—the amount of money needed to pay for new benefits earned by current employees in a given year and to cover interest on the plan’s debt at the start of the same year—should be positive or stable, indicating that contributions were sufficient to keep pension debt from growing.
- The operating cash flow ratio—the amount by which benefit payments exceed contributions as a share of plan assets—should be above -5% to avoid insolvency risk.
- Historical contribution volatility—the difference between highest and lowest employer contributions from 2008 to 2022—should be within plus or minus 3% of payroll, meaning that costs are relatively stable.

Retirement security: Do benefits put workers on a secure path?

- The replacement income ratio—the share of workers’ preretirement take-home pay replaced by retirement benefit—should be at least 90%. This metric assesses how much income career workers can expect in retirement.
- The savings rate—the share of their annual salary that workers who leave a public job can take with them—should be at least 10% for workers who are eligible for Social Security and at least 18% for those who are not. This metric helps capture how financially prepared people who leave public employment early- or midcareer will be for retirement.

Risk management: Does the system employ policies to plan for economic and demographic uncertainty?

- Stress-testing tools should be used to regularly assess the effects of investment risk on plan balance sheets and government budgets—and results should be publicly reported.
- Normal cost sensitivity—expected volatility of employer costs for future benefits under a low return scenario—should be kept low through the use of risk-sharing features.

Transparency: Are benefits, funding, and investment policies, including their implementation and performance, clear to all stakeholders?

- Fee disclosures should reflect investment returns “net of fees”—that is, adjusted to account for fees paid to investment managers.
- Investment policy statements—which outline objectives, risk parameters, and asset allocations—should be publicly available online.

For more information, please visit: pewtrusts.org

The Pew Charitable Trusts

Contact: Sarah Jones, communications officer **Email:** sjones@pewtrusts.org **Project website:** pewtrusts.org

Founded in 1948, **The Pew Charitable Trusts** uses data to make a difference. Pew addresses the challenges of a changing world by illuminating issues, creating common ground, and advancing ambitious projects that lead to tangible progress.