



Medicaid Programs That Improve The Safety of Opioid Use

Spotlight on Alaska

To minimize overdoses and other harm associated with the misuse of prescription drugs, public and private insurance plans use patient review and restriction (PRR) programs to encourage the safe use of opioids and other controlled substances. Through PRRs, insurers assign patients who are at risk for substance use disorder (SUD) to predesignated pharmacies and prescribers to obtain these drugs. This fact sheet presents key features of Alaska's Medicaid fee-for-service (FFS) PRR program that were acquired from a 2015 survey and literature review by The Pew Charitable Trusts. The nationwide survey of Medicaid PRR programs captured information on program characteristics, structures, and trends. Of the 41 states that responded (plus the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico), 38 operate an FFS PRR. For more information on state responses, visit www.pewtrusts.org/PRRreport.

PRR program initiation

PRR programs have been in operation in Medicaid FFS programs in the United States since the early 1970s. Alaska's PRR program was launched in 2005.

Designated provider structure for PRRs

PRRs require patients to receive controlled substance prescriptions and related care from designated pharmacies, prescribers, hospitals, and/or other providers, such as dentists or pain management specialists. Patients enrolled in Alaska's PRR are assigned to a designated pharmacy and prescriber. The chart below compares Alaska's PRR program design with that of other programs.

	Assign patients to a pharmacy only	Assign patients to both a pharmacy and prescriber	Assign patients to a pharmacy, prescriber, and hospital
Number of responding programs (%) n = 38	13 (34%)	17 (45%)	8 (21%)
Alaska's PRR		✓	

Criteria used to identify at-risk patients for PRR enrollment*

Programs use specific, predetermined criteria to identify potentially at-risk beneficiaries for enrollment in a PRR. Alaska's specific criteria are checked below:

✓	Filling a certain number of controlled substance prescriptions
✓	Filling a certain number of other prescriptions
✓	Utilizing a certain number of pharmacies to obtain controlled substances One or more providers for medication in total average daily doses that exceed those recommended in <i>Drug Facts and Comparisons</i> .
✓	Visiting a certain number of prescribers to obtain controlled substances See the section on the number of pharmacies above for additional details.
✓	Visiting a certain number of emergency rooms
	Obtaining a certain number of controlled substances in the same therapeutic class
✓	Referral/recommendation Referral indicating that the recipient has used a medical item or service at a frequency or amount that is not medically necessary.
✓	Other Uses a medical item or service with a frequency that exceeds two standard deviations from the arithmetic mean of the frequency of use by recipients of medical assistance programs, as shown in the department's most recent statistical analysis.

© 2016 The Pew Charitable Trusts

* With the exception of referrals/recommendations, these criteria are based on use over a specified time period. These time periods may vary between criteria and are specified where known. When publicly available, specific numbers triggering potential identification as at-risk are provided for the listed criteria.

Patients automatically excluded from PRR enrollment

Some beneficiaries with pain that is difficult to manage are typically excluded from PRRs. Based on survey results from the District of Columbia and the 37 states with an FFS PRR, the most common reasons for automatic exclusion were that patients are:

- Receiving treatment for certain types of cancer (15 states).
- In long-term care (14 states).
- In hospice care (13 states).
- In skilled nursing facilities (10 states).

71%

Twenty-seven of the 37 states and DC automatically exclude at least one patient population from PRR enrollment to help ensure that these patients have access to effective pain management. Of these, 63% exclude more than one patient population.

29%

Eleven responding states do not automatically exclude patients, although they may choose to do so after performing a clinical review.

Alaska does not automatically exclude the patient populations listed above, but it does exclude Alaska Medicaid Coordinated Care Initiative patients from PRR enrollment.

Process for patient notification of PRR enrollment

Alaska and 13 other states (40 percent of those responding^{*}) provide beneficiaries with 30 days' notice before PRR enrollment. Sixteen programs (46 percent) provide less than 30 days' notice before PRR enrollment, and five states (14 percent) provide beneficiaries with more than 30 days' notice before PRR enrollment.

Process for patient appeal of PRR enrollment

Alaska and 31 other states (over 86 percent of those responding[†]) provide beneficiaries with 30 or more days from notification to appeal the decision to enroll them in the FFS PRR program. Specifically, Alaska allows beneficiaries 30 days to appeal upon receiving notification of PRR enrollment. Five programs (almost 14 percent) provide beneficiaries with less than 30 days to appeal the decision.

If an Alaska beneficiary chooses to appeal, he or she is not enrolled in the PRR program during the appeals process. Fifty-three percent of states follow this practice.

* These data represent 34 states and DC. This includes states with FFS PRR programs that either confirmed this information or make it publicly available.

† These data represent 36 states and DC. This includes states with FFS PRR programs that either confirmed this information or make it publicly available.

Selection of designated providers

Alaska and one other state (5 percent of those responding) choose a beneficiary's most frequently visited pharmacies and prescribers, with the option for beneficiaries to change selections under limited circumstances. Alternatively, 36 programs (95 percent) allow for beneficiary input when selecting providers.

Drugs managed through the PRR

Forty-seven percent of FFS PRR programs, including Alaska's PRR, require patients to receive controlled substances in Drug Enforcement Administration Schedules II-V from designated providers. Alternatively, 45 percent of programs require patients to receive controlled as well as noncontrolled substances identified as frequently subject to misuse or diversion, such as those used to treat HIV, from designated providers. Eight percent of programs require patients to receive only a subset of controlled substance schedules from designated providers.

Additional services offered to PRR enrollees

Fifty-three percent of responding programs, including Alaska's PRR, do not offer additional services to PRR enrollees. Additional services may include general information on SUD, referrals for SUD treatment, referrals to pain specialists, case management services, and information on the appropriate use of health care services.

PRR access to state prescription drug monitoring programs

Prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs) are state-run electronic databases that monitor dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances in 49 states and DC. Alaska's Medicaid staff does not have access to the PDMP. States that do have access may use it to monitor cash transactions and identify at-risk beneficiaries for potential PRR enrollment. The chart below compares the Alaska FFS Medicaid program's access to the PDMP with that of other programs.

	No access to the PDMP	Access to the PDMP
Number of responding programs (%) n = 38	22 (58%)	16 (42%)
Alaska's PRR	✓	

© 2016 The Pew Charitable Trusts

Contact: Erin Davis, communications **Email:** edavis@pewtrusts.org **Project website:** pewtrusts.org/prescription-drug-abuse

The Pew Charitable Trusts is driven by the power of knowledge to solve today's most challenging problems. Pew applies a rigorous, analytical approach to improve public policy, inform the public, and invigorate civic life.