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Introduction

Twelve million Americans take out payday loans each year when they are in difficult financial situations. As they weigh choices for addressing a cash shortfall, payday borrowers consider both formal credit and informal options, including cutting back on expenses, borrowing from family or friends, delaying bills, or selling or pawning items, as described in Pew’s first payday lending report.1 Borrowers mostly describe themselves as trying to keep up with their expenses, often by using noncredit alternatives rather than explicitly comparing credit options. They are very familiar with debt and are not eager to take on more.

In deciding whether to borrow from a payday lender, more than 3 in 4 borrowers rely on lenders to provide accurate information about the product, and lenders describe loans as “safe,”2 “a sensible financial choice,”3 and “the best alternative to meet their current needs”4 for a “one-time fixed fee.”5 The product’s stated two-week duration appeals to the borrower’s desire for a quick cash infusion as well as the conflicting desire not to be in ongoing debt. In reality, both desires cannot be met. But a payday loan’s unrealistically short repayment period suggests otherwise by enabling people in difficult situations to think that the loan can solve their problem at an affordable fixed cost so they can avoid asking for help, cutting back further, or creating another ongoing bill.

The ultimate cost and duration of the loans are highly unpredictable and bear little resemblance to their two-week packaging. Average borrowers end up indebted for five months, paying $520 in finance charges for loans averaging $375,6 largely because they see their only choices as making a lump-sum repayment retiring their entire debt, which they cannot afford, or paying fees to continuously pay back and re-borrow the loan, which they can afford but which does not reduce what they owe. Once they have borrowed, neither choice is viable, leaving them indebted far beyond their next payday. This experience leaves borrowers torn—grateful to have received respectful customer service and credit when they sought it, but feeling taken advantage of by the loan’s cost and frustrated by the difficulty of repayment.
This report, “How Borrowers Choose and Repay Payday Loans,” the second in Pew’s Payday Lending in America series, answers several important questions: If payday loans are unaffordable, why do people choose them? How can they eventually pay them back at all? And what are the consequences of using a loan that is so difficult to repay?

This report looks at individuals’ decision processes to see why they borrow instead of cutting back expenses or choosing other options, and how they fare using the loans. The results indicate that the choice to use a payday loan often leaves borrowers needing to use these other alternatives to ultimately pay off the loan. Many payday borrowers find themselves overdrafting their checking accounts, indebted for the long term, or borrowing from family and friends anyway to repay their loan—options that were available to them instead of a payday loan in the first place.

The findings will demonstrate to policymakers and other readers the significant failures in the small-dollar loan marketplace, where millions of cash-strapped individuals are using payday loans that they cannot afford to repay in full by the nominal due date. Yet the loans continue to be marketed as a fixed-price, short-term solution. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has the authority to regulate payday lending at the federal level, along with prudential bank regulators such as the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. As these regulators are aware, some banks are also participating in the small-dollar lending market through their deposit advance loan products. At the state level, policymakers have several options. Some have chosen to eliminate payday lending stores, and these policies have been effective at reducing payday loan usage without driving an increase in online or other forms of payday lending. In other states, policymakers have sought to mitigate the potential harm of high-interest credit by capping rates below the industry average, limiting usage, or requiring that borrowers be allowed more than two weeks to repay the loan. But in a majority of states, none of these protections are in place.
Key Findings of this Report

1 Fifty-eight percent of payday loan borrowers have trouble meeting monthly expenses at least half the time. These borrowers are dealing with persistent cash shortfalls rather than temporary emergencies.

2 Only 14 percent of borrowers can afford enough out of their monthly budgets to repay an average payday loan. The average borrower can afford to pay $50 per two weeks to a payday lender—similar to the fee for renewing a typical payday or bank deposit advance loan—but only 14 percent can afford the more than $400 needed to pay off the full amount of these non-amortizing loans. These data help explain why most borrowers renew or re-borrow rather than repay their loans in full, and why administrative data show that 76 percent of loans are renewals or quick re-borrows while loan loss rates are only 3 percent.

3 The choice to use payday loans is largely driven by unrealistic expectations and by desperation. Borrowers perceive the loans to be a reasonable short-term choice but express surprise and frustration at how long it takes to pay them back. Seventy-eight percent of borrowers rely on lenders for accurate information, but the stated price tag for an average $375, two-week loan bears little resemblance to the actual cost of more than $500 over the five months of debt that the average user experiences. Desperation also influences the choice of 37 percent of borrowers who say they have been in such a difficult financial situation that they would take a payday loan on any terms offered.

4 Payday loans do not eliminate overdraft risk, and for 27 percent of borrowers, they directly cause checking account overdrafts. More than half of payday loan borrowers have overdrafted in the past year. In addition, more than a quarter report that overdrafts occurred as a result of a payday lender making a withdrawal from their account. Although payday loans are often presented as an alternative to overdrafts, most payday borrowers end up paying fees for both.
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5 Forty-one percent of borrowers have needed a cash infusion to pay off a payday loan. Many of these borrowers ultimately turn to the same options they could have used instead of payday loans to finally pay off the loans, including getting help from friends or family, selling or pawning personal possessions, or taking out another type of loan. One in six has used a tax refund to eliminate payday loan debt.

6 A majority of borrowers say payday loans take advantage of them, and a majority also say they provide relief. The appreciation for urgently needed cash and friendly service conflicts with borrowers’ feelings of dismay about high costs and frustration with lengthy indebtedness.

7 By almost a 3-to-1 margin, borrowers favor more regulation of payday loans. In addition, two out of three borrowers say there should be changes to how payday loans work. Despite these concerns, a majority would use the loans again. In a state where payday storefronts recently stopped operating, former borrowers are relieved that payday loans are gone and have not sought them elsewhere.