Overdraft Does Not Meet the Needs of Most Consumers

Bank programs often function as costly, inefficient credit
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Overview

Overdraft programs are often marketed as a service that banks provide to cover occasional budgeting errors, but in practice they are not well understood by consumers and often fail to meet their needs. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau requires banks to receive affirmative consent (opt in) from customers before providing overdraft coverage for ATM withdrawals and one-time debit transactions, such as point-of-sale purchases. The choice to opt in greatly affects how much a customer pays in fees, because debit purchases and ATM withdrawals are among the most common transaction types, and most of the largest U.S. banks typically charge $35 per overdraft. (Consumers who do not opt in can still be charged overdraft fees for checks, certain electronic transactions, and some recurring debit transactions, such as automatic membership renewals.)

To better understand the financial realities of consumers who use overdraft—how they think about and employ it, and what services they need to better manage their accounts—The Pew Charitable Trusts conducted a survey of those who have overdrawn their accounts at least once in the past year. In some instances, overdrafters have been differentiated by the number of times or the ways they incurred fees; otherwise, the figures show all overdrafters. The research found that most overdrafters do not know they have the right to avoid overdraft fees and that some use overdraft as a form of credit even though it is not regulated like other consumer credit products.

Specifically, the study found:

- Nearly 3 in 4 overdrafters do not understand that they have the right to have transactions declined without a fee if their account does not have sufficient funds to cover a debit card purchase.
- Banks’ communication about overdraft programs is ineffective.
- Even among customers who have had a conversation with their bank, understanding of overdraft programs is low.
- One in 3 overdrafters treat bank programs as a way to borrow small amounts of money.
- Most overdrafters face significant financial stress, and many have inadequate access to safe and affordable credit products.

This chartbook shows that a significant portion of overdrafters misunderstand their rights regarding overdraft fees, which suggests that consumers need more information and support to better comprehend and exercise those rights. At the same time, the research indicates that a sizable group of overdrafters use their banks’ overdraft programs as a small-dollar credit product. Consumers who use overdraft as a way to borrow could benefit from access to small installment loans with lower costs, affordable payments, and more time to repay. Without such loans, many consumers will continue to use expensive overdraft programs—as well as high-cost nonbank credit, such as payday loans—to cover expenses.
Debit transactions are the primary way in which consumers make payments from their checking accounts. Among those who have overdrawn their accounts in the past 12 months, more than half are “heavy overdrafters” (at least three overdrafts per year). Most of the heavy overdrafters—as well as nearly 70 percent of all consumers who paid debit card overdraft fees in the past year—did not know that they have the right to have debit transactions declined at no cost.

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “As far as you know, does your bank give you the option to have debit card payments declined without charging you a fee?” and “I’m going to read you four situations about people not having enough money in their bank account to cover a transaction. For each, just tell me if this has happened to you in the past 12 months, has not happened, or if you don’t know.” Data do not add to 100 percent because “refused” responses were omitted. “Heavy overdrafters” are those who have overdrawn their checking accounts at least three times in the past 12 months. “Debit overdrafters” are those who have overdrawn their accounts by making a point-of-sale purchase with their debit cards.
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Banks automatically decline one-time debit and ATM overdrafts without a fee unless the customer has opted to have such transactions processed for a fee. However, among overdrafters who think their debit overdraft would be declined, 54 percent wrongly believe they would be charged a fee. This suggests that many consumers do not understand their rights and may be opting in to overdraft programs based on incorrect assumptions.

Figure 2
Most Who Say Their Bank Would Decline a Debit Transaction Incorrectly Think They Would Incur a Fee

Percentage of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of respondents</th>
<th>Consumers who think the bank would decline an overdrawn debit transaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54%</td>
<td>I would not be charged a fee for this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41%</td>
<td>I would be charged a fee for this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Results are based on 352 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “Suppose for a moment that you used your debit card to buy something at a store that would overdraw your checking account by about $20. Do you think the bank would probably let the transaction go through or would the bank probably decline the transaction?” and “Would the bank charge you a fee for this or not?” Data do not add to 100 percent because “don’t know” and “refused” responses were omitted.
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Figure 3
Banks Rarely Discuss Options Even With Customers Who Overdraw Often
Percentage of respondents by overdraft frequency

Fewer than 30 percent of overdrafters have discussed options with their bank in the past year. Even among heavy and very heavy overdrafters, such conversations are uncommon. In 2010, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corp. (FDIC) issued guidance to the banks it regulates, urging them to provide meaningful follow-up with very heavy overdrafters, but this survey suggests that banks are not engaging in follow-up.4

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “In the past 12 months, has anyone at your bank discussed alternative overdraft options with you?” Data do not add to 100 percent because “don’t know” and “refused” responses were omitted. “Heavy overdrafters” are those who have overdrawn their checking accounts at least three times in the past 12 months. “Very heavy overdrafters” have done so at least seven times.
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Figure 4
Only a Third of Consumers Who Have Discussed Overdraft With a Bank Understand Their Rights

Percentage of respondents

Among the minority of overdrafters who say their banks have discussed alternatives with them, the information provided does not appear to have enhanced understanding of their rights. Almost two-thirds were still unaware that their debit card overdrafts could be declined without a fee. Previous research has found that most overdrafters do not recall opting in to overdraft programs for ATM and one-time debit card transactions.5

Note: Results are based on 283 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “In the past 12 months, has anyone at your bank discussed alternative overdraft options with you?” Respondents who said yes were then asked, “As far as you know, does your bank give you the option to have debit card payments declined without charging you a fee?”
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Most overdrafters, including more than 80 percent of heavy overdrafters, have had trouble meeting their regular monthly financial obligations at some point during the past year. In addition, 38 percent of overdrafters report income volatility, meaning that their incomes vary “somewhat” or “a lot” from month to month. Other research has found that this volatility is a factor in households’ periodic difficulties covering monthly expenses.6

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “How often, if ever, do you have trouble meeting your regular monthly bills and expenses?” Data do not add to 100 percent because “don’t know” and “refused” responses were omitted. “Heavy overdrafters” are those who have overdrawn their checking accounts at least three times in the past 12 months.
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Figure 6

Heavy Overdrafters Are More Likely to Overdraw at the ATM
Percentage of respondents by overdraft frequency

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “I’m going to read you four situations about people not having enough money in their bank account to cover a transaction. For each, just tell me if this has happened to you in the past 12 months, has not happened, or if you don’t know.” “Heavy overdrafters” are consumers who have overdrawn their checking accounts at least three times in the past 12 months. “Light overdrafters” are those who have overdrawn their checking accounts once or twice in that span.
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When consumers overdraw their accounts at ATMs, they are effectively taking out a loan: borrowing money directly from the bank and repaying it, plus a fee, upon their next deposit. Heavy overdrafters are more than twice as likely to do this as light overdrafters (those who have overdrawn their accounts only once or twice in the past 12 months). Borrowing money by using ATM overdrafts is much more expensive than most forms of cash credit: It must be repaid in full from the borrower’s next deposit and typically carries a flat fee of $35, which often amounts to an annual percentage rate of more than 1,000 percent.
Regulators and banks treat overdraft as a service to cover customers’ occasional budgeting errors rather than as a form of credit. Overdrafters have a different perspective: A third of them see it as a way to borrow money when they are short on cash, but this leaves them without the protections of standard credit rules and regulations that govern other loans, such as clear disclosures and reasonable time to repay via affordable installments. Other research has found that 5 percent of checking account holders pay at least 20 overdraft fees a year, which suggests that they are mainly using overdraft as short-term credit.

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “In general, do you see overdraft as more of a way to make sure payments go through when you’re not sure how much money is in your account or more of a way to borrow when you’re short on cash?” Data do not add to 100 percent because “don’t know” and “refused” responses were omitted.
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Most overdrafters say they do not have enough credit available on a credit card to cover a small expense, and they also say they have weak credit scores. Permitting banks and credit unions to offer lower-cost small installment loans would help these customers cover shortfalls more affordably and with a reasonable repayment time frame. Unlike overdraft, these loans could also improve borrowers’ credit scores, and thereby their access to traditional credit, because the payments would be reported to credit bureaus.

Note: Results are based on 1,008 survey participants. Respondents were asked, “Suppose that you have an emergency expense that costs $400. Here are some ways people might pay for that type of expense. Based on your current financial situation, is putting it on your credit card and not paying it off that month something you could do?” Data do not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

© 2017 The Pew Charitable Trusts
In the past year, 2 in 5 overdrafters applied for credit. Among those, 30 percent were declined and another 10 percent were only partially approved. So overall, 4 in 10 did not receive the amount they requested.
A third of overdrafters who apply for credit have had difficulty both accessing credit and paying their monthly bills at some point during the year. This combination of risks drives consumers to very high-cost credit—such as overdraft, payday loans, pawnshops, auto title loans, and rent-to-own stores—to meet their needs. Prior Pew research found that more than half of payday loan borrowers had overdrawn their accounts in the past year and that payday borrowers are more likely than nonborrowers to overdraw with their debit cards.\(^\text{11}\)
In today’s overdraft market, some consumers are unaware they have the right to have their transactions declined without a fee, while others wish to borrow small amounts of credit. Banks have expressed a willingness to offer small installment loans, but to date, a lack of regulatory guidance on appropriate underwriting, compliance, and other lending requirements has prevented them from doing so. With better policy, consumers who do not want overdraft transactions processed and those who want to borrow money could get the financial services they need to meet expenses, handle financial volatility, manage their budgets, and avoid excessive penalty fees.

**Figure 11**

**Overdrafters Need Better Options**

Current overdraft market outcomes compared with better public policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer profile</th>
<th>Status quo</th>
<th>Better public policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would prefer to have transactions declined and not pay overdraft fees, but is opted in to overdraft</td>
<td>Pays overdraft penalty fees, with amount borrowed due back in a lump sum</td>
<td>Transactions declined with no fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wants occasional transactions processed through and is willing to pay overdraft fees</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pays overdraft penalty fees, with amount borrowed due back in a lump sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wants access to small amounts of credit to cope with income or expense volatility</td>
<td></td>
<td>Borrows small installment loan from the bank or credit union</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommendations**

Successful public policy should include three elements:

1. Better information for consumers about their right to have debit card transactions declined without a fee.

2. Limits on the number of overdraft penalty fees that each consumer can be charged annually and heightened regulatory scrutiny to prevent frequent overdrafting.

3. Clear regulatory rules or guidance permitting banks and credit unions to offer small installment loans profitably with streamlined underwriting and compliance standards. Bank and credit union regulators should act to enable institutions within their purview to offer small installment loans with payments of no more than 5 percent of an applicant’s paycheck. Such products have been endorsed by numerous banks, credit unions, trade associations, nonprofit organizations, and editorial boards.

**Conclusion**

Consumers use and view overdraft programs differently depending on their financial circumstances, and the current marketplace is failing to effectively serve a large share of customers. Overdrafters largely do not understand their rights, particularly with respect to opting in to overdraft. Most, including those who overdraw their accounts frequently, report that their banks do not communicate with them about less expensive options. Even when conversations do happen, they do not typically lead to a better understanding of opt-in rights.

Some consumers view overdraft programs simply as a way to make sure a payment goes through when they may not have adequate funds available, but a third see it as a way to borrow when they are short on cash. Those who use overdraft repeatedly pay high prices for those small loans, because they lack sufficient access to affordable credit that can meet their needs. For many of these consumers, the primary alternative to overdraft is a high-cost nonbank loan, such as a payday loan, to cover expenses. With clarification from regulators, banks and credit unions could better serve these customers by providing small installment loans with lower costs, affordable payments, and more time to repay.
Endnotes


2 Pew’s previous reviews of bank disclosures indicate that banks do not charge fees for declined debit card transactions. According to the Federal Register (74 Fed. Reg., no. 220, 59041), ATM or debit card fees charged for declined transactions “could raise significant fairness issues under the FTC Act, because the institution bears little, if any, risk or cost to decline authorization of an ATM or one-time debit card transaction.”

3 Most customers who expected that their bank would process an overdraft transaction stated correctly that they would pay a fee for it.


8 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau research shows that the median ATM transaction value is $100 and that three-quarters of negative balances that result from overdrafts are paid back within seven days. To illustrate the cost of overdraft using these guidelines, consider a $100 ATM withdrawal resulting in an overdraft fee of $35. If paid back in seven days, this “cash loan” would carry an implicit interest rate of 1,565 percent. See Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, “Data Point: Checking Account Overdraft” (July 2014), 18 and 22, http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/201407_cfpb_report_data-point_overdrafts.pdf.


13 Ibid.