Meeting the Bigeye Tuna Challenge in the Western and Central Pacific

Overview

Over the past 30 years, the bigeye tuna fishery in the western and central Pacific Ocean has transformed from a sustainable one that exclusively targeted large adult fish using longlines to one that is now dominated by catches of juveniles by purse seine vessels using fish aggregating devices, or FADs. What's more, this growing use of FADs is largely unregulated and is driving the overfishing of bigeye tuna.¹

Value of Bigeye Tuna Caught in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean² for 2012





Purse Seine-Caught Tuna (using fish aggregating devices)

As the body responsible for the management of this valuable resource, the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, or WCPFC, is charged with the precautionary and responsible stewardship of this species. The WCPFC should be at the forefront of sustainable bigeye conservation and management, yet the complex nature of this fishery has made the negotiation of an effective measure a major challenge since the Convention came into force in 2004.

Management needs

A fresh approach by WCPFC members, one based on precaution and the best-available science, is needed to ensure healthy oceans and healthy fisheries for generations to come. As made clear by previous WCPFC Scientific Committee reports,³ reductions in fishing mortality are needed from all major fisheries sectors in the region to end overfishing. This includes reductions from the tropical longline fishery that targets large bigeye, the purse seine FAD fishery that takes more than 80 percent of the individual bigeye tuna, and other fisheries that catch bigeye, including ring nets and handlines.

Included in this document are several tables and figures illustrating the change in bigeye catch over time and which WCPFC Members, Cooperating Non-members and Participating Territories, or CCMs, are having the greatest impact on the species. The aim is to provide a basis for transparent negotiations that will result in an effective and fully enforced conservation and management measure at the next WCPFC annual meeting in December.

A Look at the Bigeye Tuna Catch





Figure 1 illustrates the top 10 bigeye-catching CCMs. Some primarily catch bigeye with longlines, while others catch mostly juveniles in purse seine FAD fisheries. All CCMs on this chart should take a leadership role in finding a solution to bigeye overfishing.



Figure 2 plots the percentage of total purse seine catch that is bigeye for the seven CCMs with the highest rates of bigeye catch since 2004. Next to that are catch trends of the CCMs with the biggest longline catches of bigeye tuna since 2007.

The Connection Between Fish Aggregating Devices and Purse Seine Bigeye Catch

A comparison of the number of FAD sets and the catch of bigeye tuna by the purse seine fleet, 2001-2011



Source: WCPFC, "Data summaries in support of discussion on the new CMM on tropical tunas," Document WCPFC9-2012-IP09 (Rev. 3), 2012, http://www.spc.int/DigitalLibrary/Doc/FAME/Meetings/WCPFC/RS9/WCPFC9-2012-IP09_Rev_3.pdf

Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between the number of FAD sets and the catch of bigeye tuna by the purse seine fleet from 2001 to 2011. The WCPFC Scientific Committee recommends limiting FAD sets to 2010 levels to end bigeye overfishing.⁴

Options for controlling bigeye tuna fishing mortality

In recent years, WCPFC members have attempted to end overfishing of bigeye tuna by adopting measures with temporary FAD closures, requirements to reduce longline mortality, and unspecified calls to reduce bigeye mortality in purse seine fisheries. But none of these efforts has been entirely successful due to a combination of factors.⁵

A range of options for a science-based measure that will end bigeye overfishing exists.⁶ WCPFC CCMs should consider the following as a new measure is developed this year:

- **Logline catch limit:** Catch limits should be set at levels low enough to result in a real reduction in fishing mortality. If this is not done, actual catches will simply decline as the stock declines.
- **FAD closure:** In order for a FAD closure to end overfishing of bigeye tuna, it would need to be significantly extended and/or implemented in combination with other measures to limit juvenile bigeye tuna mortality.
- **FAD set limit:** A FAD set limit would provide increased flexibility to the fishing industry throughout the year and would provide more control over juvenile bigeye mortality than a temporary FAD closure. (See Figure 3.)
- **Bigeye quotas:** The bigeye catch could be directly managed by the WCPFC through vessel- or fleet-specific bigeye catch quotas. This would require near-real-time monitoring by observers of bigeye catch.
- **Other gear:** Clear management targets, such as catch limits and monitoring plans, should be developed for ring-net, handline, and other fisheries that catch significant quantities of bigeye.

Time to act

WCPFC members have a responsibility to promote the health of all tuna populations in the Convention area, not merely the ones from which they benefit the most. As per the Convention's "principles and measures for conservation and management" (Article 5), this body must act as a steward for all highly migratory fish stocks in its Convention area. Maintaining this critical and productive oceanic region is an important responsibility, and all CCMs need to come to the table with a willingness to find a solution to current overfishing.

This year, WCPFC CCMs have the opportunity to finally end years of overfishing of bigeye tuna by adopting a conservation and management measure that puts the fishery on sustainable footing. Doing so will require managers to make some difficult decisions and live up to the commitments made under the Convention. While it will not be easy, a successful negotiation is imperative to the future of bigeye and critical to showing that WCPFC is an effective management body.

Endnotes

- 1 Hampton et al., *Review of the implementation and effectiveness of key management measures for tropical tunas*, Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission Scientific Committee 8th Regular Session, 2012, http://www.wcpfc.int/node/3200.
- 2 Peter Williams and Peter Terawasi, Overview of Tuna Fisheries in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, Including Economic Conditions—2012, Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, 2013, http://www.wcpfc.int/node/3561.
- 3 See Stock Status and Management Advice for bigeye tuna of WCPFC Scientific Committee Reports, 2005 through 2012: SC1 through SC8, accessed July 10, 2013, http://www.wcpfc.int/meeting-folders/scientific-committee.
- 4 Scientific Committee, Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean Summary Report, Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, 2005 through 2011, http://www.wcpfc.int/meeting-folders/scientific-committee.
- 5 Hampton et al.
- 6 Pilling et al., 2013, Analysis of the implementation and effectiveness of key management measures for tropical tunas, Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission Scientific Committee 9th Regular Session, pg 13, http://www.wcpfc.int/node/3676.

For further information, please visit:

pewenvironment.org/tuna

Contact: Amanda Nickson, director of global tuna conservation, The Pew Charitable Trusts Email: globaltuna@pewtrusts.org Project website: pewenvironment.org/tuna

The Pew Charitable Trusts is driven by the power of knowledge to solve today's most challenging problems. Pew applies a rigorous, analytical approach to improve public policy, inform the public, and stimulate civic life.