

# OREGON

Oregon paid its full annual pension contribution four times from 2005 to 2010, and the system was 87 percent funded in fiscal year 2010 but still faced an \$8 billion funding gap. Most experts agree that a fiscally sustainable system should be at least 80 percent funded. The state also had a \$768 million bill for retiree health care costs, 31 percent of which was funded, well above the 8 percent national average in 2010.

Lawmakers have raised taxpayer contributions to the pension system and are considering other changes to their retirement system.

### **TOTAL BILL COMING DUE**

Oregon's retirement plans had a liability of \$60.1 billion and the state has fallen \$8 billion short in setting aside money to pay for it.



## **ANNUAL RECOMMENDED CONTRIBUTION**

In 2010, Oregon paid 100 percent of the recommended contribution to its pension plan and just 69 percent of what the state should have paid to fund retiree health benefits.

**Retiree Health Care** 

#### **Pensions**



#### **HOW DID THIS STATE FARE?**

Oregon **needed to improve** how It handled its long-term liabilities for pensions and was a **solid performer** at handling its retiree health care bill.



The grades for pensions and retiree health benefits assess how well the states have managed these liabilities. The pension grade is based on being above 80 percent funded (2 points), having an unfunded liability that is less than the payroll for active members (1 point), and paying at least 90 percent of the recommended pension contribution over the last five years (1 point). Plans that got all four points were solid performers, plans with two or three needed improvement, and plans with one or no points were cause for serious concern. Grades for retiree health benefits were based on whether the state's benefits had a funding level above the national average (1 point), whether 90 percent of the recommended contribution was made in the most recent year (1 point), and whether the state's plans were better funded based on the most recent data than they were in the prior year (1 point). States with two or three points were solid performers, those with just one point needed improvement, and states with no points were cause for serious concern. This fact sheet stems from a 50-state analysis of states' retiree benefit obligations by the Pew Center on the States. The full report and 50 state fact sheets can be found at **www.pewstates.org**.