# YOUTH OVEREXPOSED: ALCOHOL ADVERTISING IN MAGAZINES, 2001 TO 2003 

## I. Executive Summary

Distilled spirits and beer advertising in national magazines between 2001 and 2003 consistently reached more underage youth 1 than adults on a per capita basis, according to an analysis by the Center on Alcohol Marketing and Youth (CAM Y) of 10,455 alcohol ads costing almost $\$ 1$ billion. Major findings from this analysis include the following:

## Overexposure of Underage Youth

- The beer industry led the way in this "overexposure" 2 of underage youth, delivering $52 \%$, $57 \%$, and $48 \%$ more advertising to underage youth than to adults on a per capita basis in 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively.
- The distilled spirits industry delivered $33 \%, 23 \%$ and $20 \%$ more advertising to underage youth than to adults on a per capita basis in 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively. However, the dominance in spending by distilled spirits companies (see below) meant that the volume of distilled spirits advertising reaching underage youth overwhelmed that of beer.
- Collectively, underage youth overexposure to alcohol advertising declined from $26 \%$ to $15 \%$ during this period as companies moved more of their ad placements to publications with $30 \%$ or less underage youth readership (see below), the spending on advertising for "alcopops"3 declined, and wine advertising continued its trend of not overexposing underage youth in general.


## Distilled Spirits Leads Spending, Beer Spending Up

- Distilled spirits advertising was by far the dominant category of alcohol advertising in magazines during this period, accounting for 79\%, 76\% and $70 \%$ of the advertising dollars in 2001, 2002 and 2003 respectively.
- Beer spending increased from \$31 million in 2001 to $\$ 34$ million in 2002, and then jumped to $\$ 55$ million in 2003. This, combined with the decline in distilled spirits spending, resulted in beer advertising accounting for $16.8 \%$ of alcohol ad spending in 2003, up from $9.5 \%$ and $9.9 \%$ in 2001 and 2002, respeclively.
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- Advertising for alcopops all but disappeared between 2002 and 2003. In 2001 and 2002 alcopops advertising accounted for $2.2 \%$ and $3 \%$ of the ad spending respectively, but in 2003 this declined to $.9 \%$.
- Although spending on alcopops advertising declined, its overexposure of underage youth grew. On a per capita basis, underage youth were exposed to $63 \%$ more alcopops magazine advertising than legal-age adults in 2001, and this grew to $72 \%$ in 2002, and finally to $92 \%$ in 2003.


## Half of Alcohol Ads

Placed in Magazines with
Disproportionate Youth Readerships

- M ore than $55 \%$ of the $\$ 990$ million spent on alcohol advertising in magazines between 2001 and 2003 went to ad placements in magazines that were more likely to be read by underage youth than by adults on a per capita basis.


Appeared in Maxim, April 2003: 23.8\% underage youth in 2003

- In 2003, morethan $50 \%$ of the $\$ 326$ million spent on magazine advertising by alcohol companies, as well as almost half of the 3,241 ads, were in magazines read by disproportionate numbers of underage youth.


## Impact of New Industry Marketing Guidelines

- In September 2003, the trade associations for the beer and distilled spirits industries announced a new voluntary guideline for their members: no advertising in publications with $30 \%$ or greater underage youth audiences. In 2001, the alcohol industry placed 382 ads worth $\$ 30$ million in publications with greater than $30 \%$ underage youth compositions, and in 2002 this increased slightly to 389 ads worth almost \$38 million. However, in 20034 the alcohol industry placed only 223 ads worth almost $\$ 20$ million in such publications, indicating a move toward the new threshold even before it was announced.
- While the alcohol industry substantially reduced the number of ads and the amount of spending in publications with $30 \%$ or greater underage youth audiences between 2002 and 2003, the reductions in the number of ads and the amount of spending in publications with $15 \%$ or greater underage youth


Appeared in Stuff, April 2003: 19.3\% underage youth in 2003
audiences were more modest. Fifteen percent is the threshold proposed by the $N$ ational Research Council and Institute of M edicine in their landmark September 2003 report on underage drinking in the United States because it reflects the actual proportion of underage youth, ages 12 to 20 , in the U.S. population. The reductions in the number of ads and the amount of spending in these publications were 19\% and 15\% between 2002 and 2003, while the corresponding reductions for publications above a $30 \%$ threshold were $43 \%$ and $48 \%$.

## II. Why the Concern

Alcohol use is the leading illegal drug problem among America's youth. Every day, 7,000 young people under age 16 take their first drink. 5 Young people are more likely to drink alcohol than to smoke
or use other illegal drugs. 6 In 2004, one in five eighth-graders, morethan onein three 10th-graders, and almost half of 12thgraders reported drinking in the past month. And nearly one in five 10th-

[^1]graders and one in three 12th-graders had been drunk at least once in the past month. 7

When young people drink, they are far more likely to drink heavily than adults, 8 and the consequences are pre dictable and tragic. The Centers for Disease C ontrol and Prevention estimates that in 2001 (the most recent year for which figures are available) 4,554 young people under 21 died from alcoholrelated causes. 9 M ore than $30 \%$ of drivers ages 16 to 20 who died in motor vehicle crashes in 2003 had been drinking, 10 and alcohol is involved in an estimated $23 \%$ of suicides ${ }^{11}$ and $47 \%$ of homicides among persons under 21.12 Drinking among young people can also impair brain development, 13 and binge drinking may increase the likelihood of teen pregnancy. 14

A growing body of public health research has explored the relationship between alcohol advertising and young people's drinking behavior. Studies have shown that youth exposure to alcohol advertising increases awareness of that advertising, 15 which in turn influences young people's beliefs about drinking, intentions to drink, and drinking behavior. 16 Brain imaging has revealed that, when shown alcoholic beverage advertisements, teens with alcohol use disorders have greater activity in areas of the brain previously linked to reward, desire, positive affect and episodic recall, with the degree of brain response highest in youths who consumed more drinks per month and reported greater desires to drink. 17 When it reviewed the evidence on this issue in 1999, the Federal TradeCommission (FTC) concluded that, "W hile many factors may influence an underage person's drinking decisions,
including among other things parents, peers, and the media, there is reason to believe that advertising also plays a role."18


Appeared in Sports Illustrated, J uly 14-J uly 21, 2003: 23.2\% underage youth in 2003

## III. About This Report

Alcohol advertising reaching young people has been a subject of concern for parents, policymakers and youth advocates for many years. The debate has often centered on the content of alcohol advertising. However, as the $N$ ational Research Council and Institute of M edicine recently concluded as part of
their landmark study, Reducing Underage Drinking: A Collective Responsibility,19 "formulating and applying a content-based standard is a difficult undertaking. The need to do so will depend in part on whether and to what extent the placement of advertisements is restricted. For example,
there would ordinarily be less need for a content restriction if placements were precluded in any media for which more than 10 percent of the audience was expected to be underage than there would be if placements were precluded only if more than 50 percent of the audience was expected to be underage."

[^2]

Appeared in Cosmopolitan, September 2002: 23.3\% underage youth in 2002

This report and other studies 20 conducted by CAM Y analyze the placement of alcohol advertising. For this report, CAMY commissioned Virtual Media Resources (VMR) of Natick, M assachusetts to analyze alcohol advertising that appeared in national magazines from 2001 to 2003. VM R is an independent media research, planning, market analysis and consulting firm serving communications organizations and marketers in a wide variety of market segments and media. VMR was established in 1992 and has grown to service over 100 clients across the United States and Canada in retail, publishing, financial, automotive, public health and other fields.

This report is based on the industry-standard data sources and methods that are available to ad agencies and advertisers as they make their decisions about where to place their advertising. Advertising occurrence and expenditure data came from TNS M edia Intelligence (formerly known as CM R or Competitive M edia Reporting). Audience data came from Mediamark Research Inc., the leading industry source for magazine readership demographics.

The measures in this report are standard to the advertising research field but may not be familiar to the general reader. "Reach" refers to the number or percentage of a target population that has the opportunity to see an ad or a campaign through exposure to selected media. "Frequency" refers to the number of times individuals are exposed to an ad or campaign and is most often expressed as an average number of exposures. "Gross rating points," or "GRPs," measure how much advertising exposure is going to a particular population on a per capita basis. For example, the measure of 100 GRPs indicates that the population received the equivalent of one exposure per person (although this al so could have come from $50 \%$ of the population seeing the advertising two times). GRPs are the mathematical product of reach and frequency: if the reach is $80 \%$ and the average frequency is 2.5 , then the GRPs total 200. GRPs thus provide a comparative measure of per capita advertising exposure. Further information on sources and methodology may befound in Appendix A. Appendix B provides a glossary of advertising research terminology.

Appeared in FHM, J uly 2002: 17.5\% underage youth in 2002
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## IV. Alcohol Advertising in Magazines

Alcohol companies spent nearly $\$ 5.5$ billion on advertising in the measured media of television, radio, print and outdoor between 2001 and 2003. Of this, more than $\$ 1.2$ billion went to advertising in national magazines; this report looked at product advertising representing $82 \%$ of this spending. 210 verall, alcohol advertising in the magazines analyzed for this report decreased in spending by $5.5 \%$ (from $\$ 344$ million to $\$ 326$ million), and fell by $10 \%$ in number of ad pages (from 3,598 to 3,241 ) purchased from 2002 to 2003. (SeeTable 1.) While distilled spirits companies were the dominant buyer of alcohol advertising in magazines from 2001 to 2003, their spending declined during this period (from $\$ 254$ million to $\$ 228$ million). On theother hand, beer companies substantially increased their spending (from $\$ 31$ million to $\$ 55$ million). This period also reflects the "boom and bust" of spending on magazine advertising for alcopops.

Table 1: Alcohol Ads and Spending in National Magazines Measured by MRI, 2001 to 2003

| Beverage Type | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ads | Dollars | Ads | Dollars | Ads | Dollars |
| Beer and Ale | 292 | \$30,524,944 | 291 | \$33,991,692 | 465 | \$54,893,009 |
| Distilled Spirits | 2,840 | \$254,375,577 | 2,697 | \$260,802,078 | 2,330 | \$228,207,697 |
| Alcopops | 73 | \$6,944,474 | 96 | \$10,294,433 | 29 | \$3,009,913 |
| Wine | 411 | \$28,534,584 | 514 | \$38,857,593 | 417 | \$39,947,891 |
| TOTAL | 3,616 | \$320,379,579 | 3,598 | \$343,945,795 | 3,241 | \$326,058,509 |
| Source: TNS Media In | 2001-2003 |  |  |  |  |  |

## V. Youth Overexposure to Alcohol Advertising in National Magazines

W hen young people ages 12 to 20 are disproportionately represented in a magazine's readership relative to their presence in the general population, this creates youth "overexposure" to al cohol advertising in the magazine (see footnote 2 above). In the advertising industry, average issue readership equates with advertising exposure.

From 2001 to 2003, youth were consistently overexposed to beer and distilled spirits advertising in national magazines. 22 By category, young people were exposed to over 48\% more beer advertising per capita than adults all three years. Spirits overexposure fell over the three years: from young people seeing 33\% more distilled spirits ads per capita in 2001 to 20\% more in 2003. O verexposure to alcopops advertising increased all three years, but the amount of alcopops advertising fell, causing total youth exposure to alcopops advertising in 2003 to be less than half what it was in 2001.

In marked contrast to youth exposure to beer, distilled spirits and alcopops advertising, youth exposure to wine advertising was consistently lower than adult exposure and decreased over the three years. In 2001, youth were exposed to $55 \%$ less wine advertising per capita than adults of legal drinking age and, in 2003, they were exposed to $66 \%$ less wine advertising in magazines than adults age 21 and over.

[^4]Taken together, the level of youth overexposure to alcohol magazine advertising fell between 2001 and 2003: in 2001, youth were exposed to $26 \%$ more alcohol advertising per capita than adults, while in 2003 they were exposed to $15 \%$ more than adults. This overall drop in overexposure appears to be the result of a number of factors: companies moving more of their ad placements to publications with $30 \%$ or less underage youth readership, the decline in the alcopops market, and the wine industry's continuing trend of not overexposing underage youth in general.

Table 2: Exposure to Alcohol Advertising in National Magazines by Age Group, 2001 to 2003

| Beverage Type | Gross Rating Points (GRPs) |  |  |  | GRP Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Youth Ages 12-20 | Adults Age 21+ | Young Adults Ages 21-34 | Adults Age 35+ | 12-20:21+ | 12-20:21-34 | 12:20:35+ |


| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beer and Ale | 1,870 | 1,227 | 2,064 | 914 | 1.52 | 0.91 | 2.04 |
| Distilled Spirits | 13,175 | 9,916 | 15,892 | 7,680 | 1.33 | 0.83 | 1.72 |
| Alcopops | 407 | 250 | 480 | 164 | 1.63 | 0.85 | 2.49 |
| Wine | 606 | 1,359 | 1,285 | 1,386 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.44 |
| TOTAL | 16,057 | 12,752 | 19,720 | 10,144 | 1.26 | 0.81 | 1.58 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beer and Ale | 1,840 | 1,171 | 2,049 | 842 | 1.57 | 0.90 | 2.19 |
| Distilled Spirits | 11,324 | 9,234 | 14,809 | 7,143 | 1.23 | 0.76 | 1.59 |
| Alcopops | 668 | 388 | 787 | 239 | 1.72 | 0.85 | 2.80 |
| Wine | 544 | 1,637 | 1,604 | 1,649 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.33 |
| TOTAL | 14,376 | 12,430 | 19,249 | 9,873 | 1.16 | 0.75 | 1.46 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beer and Ale | 2,425 | 1,633 | 2,881 | 1,163 | 1.48 | 0.84 | 2.08 |
| Distilled Spirits | 8,618 | 7,194 | 11,422 | 5,601 | 1.20 | 0.75 | 1.54 |
| Alcopops | 193 | 101 | 210 | 60 | 1.92 | 0.92 | 3.24 |
| Wine | 381 | 1,134 | 1,086 | 1,152 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.33 |
| TOTAL | 11,616 | 10,062 | 15,598 | 7,976 | 1.15 | 0.74 | 1.46 |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

Alcohol advertisers have argued that the real target for their advertising is not all adults over 21, but rather a segment of young adults, such as those ages 21 to 34.23 AsTable 2 shows, underage youth had almost as much exposure to alcohol advertising in magazines as young adults ages 21 to 34 . For instance, underage youth saw nine beer ads for every 10 seen by young adults, per capita, in 2001 and 2002. On the other hand, underage youth were exposed to far more alcohol ads than adults 35 and over, the age of most of their parents. In 2003, on a per capita basis, teens saw twice as many beer ads, more than three times as many ads for alcopops, and $50 \%$ more spirits ads than adults 35 and over, the age group of most parents of teenagers.

Another way to express the exposure of age groups to advertising is to look at reach and frequency. From 2001 to 2003, beer and ale advertising routinely reached more than $80 \%$ of the 12 - to 20 -year-old age group, while distilled spirits advertising reached more than $90 \%$. (SeeTable 3.) At the same time, the frequency of beer advertising increased between 2001 and 2003, going from

[^5]on average 22 ads reaching underage youth per capita to 29 . On the other hand, the frequency of distilled spirits advertising declined during this period- from on average 141 in 2001 to 94 in 2003. Again, the contrast with wine is noticeable: the reach of wine advertising to underage youth declined from $57 \%$ to $43 \%$ during this period, and the frequency declined as well, albeit modestly, from 11 to 9 .

Table 3: Exposure to Alcohol Advertising in National Magazines, as Measured by Reach and Frequency, 2001 to 2003

|  | Ages 12-20 |  | Age 21+ |  | Ages 21-34 |  | Age 35+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beverage Type | Reach | Freq | Reach | Freq | Reach | Freq | Reach | Freq |


| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beer and Ale | $84.8 \%$ | 22.1 | $76.3 \%$ | 16.1 | $88.0 \%$ | 23.4 | $71.0 \%$ | 12.9 |
| Distilled Spirits | $93.4 \%$ | 141.0 | $95.3 \%$ | 104.0 | $98.0 \%$ | 162.1 | $93.5 \%$ | 82.1 |
| Alcopops | $60.6 \%$ | 6.7 | $44.2 \%$ | 5.6 | $66.1 \%$ | 7.3 | $36.2 \%$ | 4.5 |
| Wine | $56.6 \%$ | 10.7 | $71.7 \%$ | 19.0 | $75.8 \%$ | 17.0 | $70.4 \%$ | 19.7 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 . 1}$ |

## 2002

| Beer and Ale | $81.1 \%$ | 22.7 | $75.3 \%$ | 15.6 | $88.6 \%$ | 23.1 | $68.4 \%$ | 12.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distilled Spirits | $92.4 \%$ | 122.6 | $95.8 \%$ | 96.4 | $98.6 \%$ | 150.2 | $93.6 \%$ | 76.3 |
| Alcopops | $64.7 \%$ | 10.3 | $47.1 \%$ | 8.2 | $71.3 \%$ | 11.0 | $35.5 \%$ | 6.7 |
| Wine | $50.2 \%$ | 10.8 | $73.0 \%$ | 22.4 | $75.7 \%$ | 21.2 | $71.1 \%$ | 23.2 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 3 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 . 2}$ |

## 2003

| Beer and Ale | $82.4 \%$ | 29.4 | $81.4 \%$ | 20.1 | $89.4 \%$ | 32.2 | $77.1 \%$ | 15.1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distilled Spirits | $91.4 \%$ | 94.3 | $93.7 \%$ | 76.8 | $97.0 \%$ | 117.7 | $90.3 \%$ | 62.0 |
| Alcopops | $50.3 \%$ | 3.8 | $29.8 \%$ | 3.4 | $51.0 \%$ | 4.1 | $21.9 \%$ | 2.7 |
| Wine | $43.2 \%$ | 8.8 | $62.5 \%$ | 18.1 | $65.6 \%$ | 16.6 | $61.9 \%$ | 18.6 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 2 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2}$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

## VI. Brands That Expose Youth to More Advertising

Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the relative media exposure of youth ages 12 to 20 , young adults ages 21 to 34 , and adults age 35 and over. For the beer and distilled spirits brands with the largest youth audiences for their advertising in 2003, for the most part young adults received the heaviest media exposure per capita. H owever, Figures 1 and 2 show that the spillover of beer and distilled spirits advertising from the 21-to-34 age group was consistently toward the under- 21 age group. For nine of the 10 beer brands, more advertising reached 12 - to 20 -year-olds per capita than adults age $35+$. For distilled spirits, 10 of 10 brands delivered more advertising to 12 - to 20 -year-olds per capita than to adults $35+$. For two of the beer brands and one of the distilled spirits brands, more advertising reached the under-21 audience on a per capita basis than the 21 -to- 34 age group. In contrast, Figure 3 shows that, for the wine brands with the largest youth audiences for their advertising, the advertising skewed most of the time to the older audiences rather than toward the younger age group. Alcohol industry representatives argue that this most likely reflects an older demographic target for the wine industry. 24

[^6]Figure 1: Beer Brands With the Largest Youth Audiences for Their Magazine Advertising, 2003


Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2003, MRI Spring Adult Study 2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2003

Figure 2: Distilled Spirits Brands With the Largest Youth Audiences for Their Magazine Advertising, 2003


Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2003, MRI Spring Adult Study 2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2003

Figure 3: Wine Brands With the Largest Youth Audiences for Their Magazine Advertising, 2003


Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2003, MRI Spring Adult Study 2004, MRI TwelveP lus 2003

## VII. Alcohol Advertising in Magazines with Disproportionately High Youth Readerships

O ver the period from 2001 to 2003, 56\% of the spending on the alcohol advertisements studied for this report, as well as almost $50 \%$ of the ads, was in magazines with disproportionately high youth readerships. 25 In 2001 and 2002, the spending- slightly less than $60 \%$ - and number of ads- just above $50 \%$ - in such publications stayed relatively constant. In 2003, the spending for ads in these magazines dropped to $52 \%$ of all spending, and the percentage of all ads "overexposing" underage youth declined to $46 \%$. The reductions between 2002 and 2003 in the number of ads (from 1,855 to 1,492) and dollars spent (from $\$ 199.2$ million to $\$ 169.5$ million) were $19.6 \%$ and $14.9 \%$ respectively. (SeeTable 4.)

| Table 4: Ad Spending and Number of Ads Placed in Magazines with Disproportionately High Youth Readerships, 2001 to 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Overexposing |  |  |  |
|  | Ads | Spending | Ads | \% Total | Spending | \% Total |
| 2001 | 3,616 | \$320,379,579 | 1,867 | 51.6\% | \$190,224,222 | 59.4\% |
| 2002 | 3,598 | \$343,945,795 | 1,855 | 51.6\% | \$199,166,793 | 57.9\% |
| 2003 | 3,241 | \$326,058,509 | 1,492 | 46.0\% | \$169,507,074 | 52.0\% |
| Total | 10,455 | \$990,383,883 | 5,214 | 49.9\% | \$558,898,089 | 56.4\% |
| Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Study 2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]Table 5 shows the magazines with disproportionately high youth readerships that attracted more than a million dollars in alcoholic beverage advertising in 2003, as well as the percentages of youth in their readerships.

Table 5: Magazines with Disproportionately High Youth Readerships with More Than a Million Dollars in Alcoholic Beverage Advertising, 2003

| Publication | Alcohol Ads | Alcohol Ad Dollars | Youth Audience <br> Composition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sports Illustrated | 148 | $\$ 41,122,774$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| Maxim | 124 | $\$ 21,102,190$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| Cosmopolitan | 68 | $\$ 11,651,335$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| Rolling Stone | 112 | $\$ 11,411,660$ | $29.7 \%$ |
| Entertainment Weekly | 94 | $\$ 10,094,246$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| ESPN The Magazine | 73 | $\$ 9,663,300$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| Stuff | 112 | $\$ 8,913,300$ | $19.3 \%$ |
| FHM Magazine | 115 | $\$ 7,975,595$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| Vibe | 81 | $\$ 6,484,837$ | $38.9 \%$ |
| Vogue | 43 | $\$ 6,330,604$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| InStyle | 67 | $\$ 6,254,500$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| Us Weekly | 67 | $\$ 5,327,065$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| Marie Claire | 30 | $\$ 2,609,510$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| Sporting News | 71 | $\$ 2,520,849$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Spin | 48 | $\$ 2,360,273$ | $35.1 \%$ |
| Premiere | 43 | $\$ 2,018,136$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| J ane | 33 | $\$ 1,973,243$ | $18.7 \%$ |
| Glamour | 8 | $\$ 1,573,563$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| Popular Mechanics | 15 | $\$ 1,529,918$ | $18.2 \%$ |
| Ebony | 25 | $\$ 1,373,801$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| Self | 12 | $17,125,885$ | $17.7 \%$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2003, MRI Spring Adult Study 2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2003

In each year between 2001 and 2003, more than 30 brands placed all of their advertising in magazines with disproportionately high youth readerships. Of the brands that did this, Table 6 shows the number of ads and dollars spent by those brands with more than a million dollars worth of advertising in the magazines analyzed for this report.

Table 6: Highest-Spending Alcohol Brands Advertising Only in Magazines with Disproportionately High Youth Readerships, 2001 to 2003

| Brand | Ads | Dollars |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| Miller Lite | 20 | $\$ 4,970,570$ |
| Heineken Beer | 31 | $\$ 3,748,315$ |
| Skyy Vodka | 31 | $\$ 3,408,308$ |
| Cutty Sark Scotch | 19 | $\$ 1,662,976$ |
| Killarney's Red Lager | 9 | $\$ 1,183,425$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |  |
| Skyy Blue Malt Beverage | 44 | $\$ 4,150,196$ |
| Heineken Beer | 27 | $\$ 3,669,295$ |
| Doc Otis Hard Lemon | 17 | $\$ 1,966,389$ |
| Kahlua Liqueurs | 16 | $\$ 1,617,237$ |
| Jameson lrish Whiskey | 12 | $\$ 1,236,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |  |
| Heineken Beer | 42 | $\$ 5,826,235$ |
| Skyy Blue Malt Beverage | 26 | $\$ 2,801,987$ |
| De Kuyper Cordials \& Liqueurs | 24 | $\$ 1,655,526$ |
| Coors Light | 11 | $\$ 1,624,430$ |
| Remy Red Cognac | 23 | $\$ 1,252,607$ |
| Seagram's Extra Dry Gin | 19 | $\$ 1,192,316$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

## VIII. Double Exposure: Advertising Above a 30\% Threshold for Youth Audience Composition

For decades, the alcohol industry's voluntary marketing codes of good practice have designated maximum youth audiences to protect young people from undue exposure to alcohol advertising. 26 Current policy debates focus on determining a level of youth exposure that is reasonable and acceptable and that provides the public health protection of limiting underage youth exposure while still allowing the industry to reach its legal market with its advertising.

In 1999, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) examined the issue of alcohol advertising and young people at the request of C ongress and criticized the Beer Institute and the Distilled Spirits Council of the U nited States (DISCUS) for their then-current thresholds of $50 \%$ underage audience composition: " $O$ nly 30 percent of the U.S. population is under the age of 21, and only ten percent is age 11 to 17 . The 50 percent standard, therefore, permits placement of ads on programs where the underage audience far exceeds its representation in the population. Given this age composition of the population, large numbers of underage consumers can be exposed to alcohol ads even though a majority of the audience is of legal age."27

[^8]The FTC also recognized that alcohol companies might actually be undercounting the number of underage persons in the audiences for their advertising, since the surveys used to measure magazine audience composition do not count readership under age 18 for many publications, and radio surveys do not count anyone under age 12.28 As a result, the Commission called on the industry to follow the "best practices" then current among some alcohol companies. 29 These "best practices" ranged from 55\% to $60 \%$ legal-age audience thresholds for radio to $60 \%$ to $70 \%$ thresholds for print and $70 \%$ to $75 \%$ thresholds for television.

In September 2003, the Beer Institute and DISCUS announced that henceforth their codes would mandate a 30\% maximum youth audience for beer and spirits advertising (the W ine Institute's code had such a threshold in place since 2000). W ith 12- to 20 -year-olds comprising roughly $15 \%$ of the general population 12 and above (the population measured in standard magazine audience studies), a 30\% threshold still permits 12- to 20 -year-olds to have twice the exposure per capita of the adult population to alcohol advertising.

In reviewing the placement of alcohol ads in magazines from January 2001 through December 2003, CAM Y found movement toward compliance with a 30\% threshold during 2003. Spending on alcohol advertising in magazines with audiences greater than $30 \%$ increased from 2001 to 2002, but then dropped below the 2001 level in 2003. The reduction in ads and dollars spent between 2002 and 2003 represented substantial declines of $43 \%$ and $48 \%$, respectively. (SeeTable 7.)

Table 7: Alcohol Advertising Placements and Expenditures in Publications With Greater Than 30\% Youth Audience Compositions, 2001 to 2003

| Year | Ads Above 30\% | \% of Total Ads | Dollars Above 30\% | \% of Total Dollars |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 382 | $10.6 \%$ | $\$ 30,000,933$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 2002 | 389 | $10.8 \%$ | $\$ 37,983,478$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| 2003 | 223 | $6.9 \%$ | $\$ 19,766,359$ | $6.1 \%$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

As Table 8 shows, 10 brands spent more than a million dollars on ad placements over $30 \%$ in 2001. This group grew to 13 in 2002 before dropping to only five brands in 2003, when the beer and distilled spirits industries announced in September that member companies should not place ads in publications where the underage audience is $30 \%$ or greater. While no brand made the list all three years, for two of the three years, Jim Beam W hite Bourbon W hiskey, Absolut Vodka, M iller Lite and H eineken spent more than a million dollars each year on placements in magazines with youth audience compositions in excess of $30 \%$.

[^9]Table 8: Brands Spending More Than a Million Dollars in a Year on Ad Placements in Publications With Greater Than 30\% Youth Audience Compositions, 2001 to 2003

| Brand | Total |  | >30\% Ages 12-20 |  | \% of Total >30\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ads | Dollars | Ads | Dollars | \% Ads | \% Dollars |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J im Beam White Bourbon Whiskey | 111 | \$10,552,999 | 24 | \$1,649,577 | 21.6\% | 15.6\% |
| Bacardi Light Rum | 90 | \$8,970,902 | 26 | \$1,644,747 | 28.9\% | 18.3\% |
| Absolut Vodka | 158 | \$13,503,356 | 16 | \$1,459,007 | 10.1\% | 10.8\% |
| Courvoisier Cognacs | 54 | \$4,682,239 | 16 | \$1,449,098 | 29.6\% | 30.9\% |
| Kahlua Liqueurs | 89 | \$7,726,895 | 23 | \$1,385,984 | 25.8\% | 17.9\% |
| Beefeater Gin | 84 | \$8,620,563 | 14 | \$1,243,870 | 16.7\% | 14.4\% |
| Fosters Beer | 33 | \$3,083,673 | 15 | \$1,162,558 | 45.5\% | 37.7\% |
| Captain Morgan Spiced Rum | 95 | \$10,614,802 | 15 | \$1,096,544 | 15.8\% | 10.3\% |
| Hennessy Very Special Cognac | 73 | \$5,564,405 | 16 | \$1,078,428 | 21.9\% | 19.4\% |
| Dewar's White Label Scotch Whiskey | 63 | \$5,075,039 | 12 | \$1,075,960 | 19.0\% | 21.2\% |


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| J im Beam White Bourbon Whiskey | 120 | $\$ 13,266,720$ | 40 | $\$ 3,688,675$ | $33.3 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ |
| Miller Lite | 77 | $\$ 10,758,970$ | 27 | $\$ 2,544,081$ | $35.1 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| Absolut Vodka | 170 | $\$ 18,090,984$ | 19 | $\$ 1,833,675$ | $11.2 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Stolichnaya Vodkas | 68 | $\$ 8,658,138$ | 17 | $\$ 1,627,850$ | $25.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ |
| Jim Beam Black Bourbon Whiskey | 45 | $\$ 5,607,004$ | 14 | $\$ 1,592,500$ | $31.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| Heineken Beer | 27 | $\$ 3,669,295$ | 17 | $\$ 1,515,020$ | $63.0 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ |
| Bacardi Light Rum | 47 | $\$ 4,458,733$ | 17 | $\$ 1,433,016$ | $36.2 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ |
| Bacardi Flavored Rums | 94 | $\$ 7,971,729$ | 12 | $\$ 1,421,402$ | $12.8 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ |
| Courvoisier Cognacs | 22 | $\$ 2,104,836$ | 12 | $\$ 1,111,006$ | $54.5 \%$ | $52.8 \%$ |
| Skyy Vodka | 51 | $\$ 5,333,698$ | 11 | $\$ 1,091,713$ | $21.6 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ |
| Bacardi Rums | 44 | $\$ 4,618,648$ | 11 | $\$ 1,077,230$ | $25.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
| Ciclon Rum | 20 | $\$ 2,411,754$ | 9 | $\$ 1,059,400$ | $45.0 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ |
| Rums of Puerto Rico | 83 | $\$ 7,945,900$ | 14 | $\$ 1,054,182$ | $16.9 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Miller Lite | 63 | $\$ 13,189,307$ | 18 | $\$ 1,889,846$ | $28.6 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| Heineken Beer | 42 | $\$ 5,826,235$ | 18 | $\$ 1,787,980$ | $42.9 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ |
| Absolut Flavored Vodkas | 91 | $\$ 10,841,771$ | 12 | $\$ 1,343,516$ | $13.2 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ |
| Miller Genuine Draft | 33 | $\$ 3,540,387$ | 13 | $\$ 1,306,004$ | $39.4 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| Rums of Puerto Rico | 163 | $\$ 13,687,905$ | 12 | $\$ 1,096,677$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

## IX. Advertising Above 15\%: Meeting a Proportional Standard

In the magazine universe measured for this report, young people ages 12 to 20 made up around $15.2 \%$ of the age $12+$ population in 2003.30 W hile there is public health concern about underage drinking before age 12,31 the public health surveys that measure underage drinking start at age 12. M oreover, public health research has documented 13 years of age as the average age of initiation for drinking for current drinkers, ages 12 to 17.32

Reasoning that young people ages 12 to 20 are approximately $15 \%$ of the general population 12 and above, the N ational Research C ouncil and Institute of M edicine recommended in September 2003 that "the industry should consider eventually moving toward a 15 percent threshold to further reduce the number of youth who are exposed to advertising intended for adults."33 In 2001 and 2002, the spending and number of ads in magazines above this threshold remained relatively constant, but the percentage of both ads and dollars over $15 \%$ declined from 2002 to 2003 by 19\% and 15\% respectively. (SeeTable 9.)

Table 9: Alcohol Advertising Placements and Expenditures in Publications With Greater Than 15\% Youth Audience Compositions, 2001 to 200334

| Year | Ads Above 15\% | \% of Total Ads | Dollars Above 15\% | \% of Total Dollars |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,867 | $51.6 \%$ | $\$ 190,224,222$ | $59.4 \%$ |
| 2002 | 1,855 | $51.6 \%$ | $\$ 199,166,793$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | 1,494 | $46.1 \%$ | $\$ 169,668,845$ | $52.0 \%$ |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

W hat is critical to understand about alcohol advertising placed above the $15 \%$ threshold is that it constitutes the overwhelming majority of alcohol advertising reaching the underage audience. For instance, in 2003 the ads placed above the industry's $30 \%$ threshold accounted for only $16 \%$ of all the alcohol advertising reaching the underage audience. On the other hand, ads placed above a $15 \%$ threshold in 2003 accounted for $87 \%$ of the alcohol advertising reaching the underage audience that year. (SeeTable 10.)

| Youth Ages 12-20 GRPs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | >15\% | \% Total | >30\% | \% Total |
| 2001 | 16,057 | 14,338 | 89.3\% | 3,340 | 20.8\% |
| 2002 | 14,376 | 12,532 | 87.2\% | 3,680 | 25.6\% |
| 2003 | 11,616 | 10,094 | 86.9\% | 1,797 | 15.5\% |
| Total | 42,049 | 36,964 | 87.9\% | 8,817 | 21.0\% |

[^10]
## X. Conclusion

$M$ agazines are a demographically targeted medium. Because there are so many options from which to choose, advertisers have the ability to identify and reach their audiences with far greater precision than with broadcast television, where there are fewer precisely targeted options.

Beer, distilled spirits and alcopops marketers consistently overexposed youth under the legal drinking age by wide margins between 2001 and 2003. The worst instances of overexposure- placement of advertisements in magazines with more than $30 \%$ youth audiences- declined over the three year period. With the advent of the revamped marketing codes of the beer and distilled spirits industries and their $30 \%$ threshold for the placing of ads, such ads are disappearing. Still, as late as November 2004, for instance, the C ode Review Board for DISCUS received complaints from industry members that other alcohol companies continued to place ads in a magazine with greater than $30 \%$ underage youth audience composition. 35

On the other hand, relative overexposure of those closest to the legal drinking age of 21 actually worsened over the three-year period. Analysis of the per capita exposure of 18 - to 20 -year-olds and 21 - to 24 -year-olds revealed that both groups had virtually the same exposure to alcohol advertising in magazines, but the trend was toward 18 - to 20 -year-olds' relative exposure growing larger than that of 21- to 24-year-olds, as shown in Table 11.

| Beverage Type | Table 11: Exposure of 18- to 20- and 21- to 24-Year-Olds to Alcohol Advertising in Magazines, 2001 to 2003 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GRPs |  | GRP Ratio |
|  | Ages 18-20 | Ages 21-24 | 18-20: 21-24 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |
| Beer and Ale | 2,862 | 2,795 | 1.02 |
| Distilled Spirits | 20,403 | 20,510 | 0.99 |
| Alcopops | 689 | 662 | 1.04 |
| Wine | 1,104 | 1,145 | 0.96 |
| TOTAL | 25,059 | 25,111 | 1.00 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |
| Beer and Ale | 2,761 | 2,468 | 1.12 |
| Distilled Spirits | 18,125 | 17,602 | 1.03 |
| Alcopops | 1,092 | 1,040 | 1.05 |
| Wine | 1,109 | 1,470 | 0.75 |
| TOTAL | 23,087 | 22,580 | 1.02 |
| 2003 |  |  |  |
| Beer and Ale | 3,992 | 3,527 | 1.13 |
| Distilled Spirits | 13,970 | 13,394 | 1.04 |
| Alcopops | 311 | 280 | 1.11 |
| Wine | 714 | 996 | 0.72 |
| TOTAL | 18,987 | 18,197 | 1.04 |

Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

[^11]Although this overexposure of young people as they neared the legal drinking age seemed to be worsening, it is still worth noting that, for 2003, $60 \%$ of the alcohol brands advertising in the magazines analyzed for this report achieved greater exposure for their advertising from legal-age than from underage readers. These brands represented $43 \%$ of the alcohol advertising dollars in the magazines used for this report. This is an improvement: in 2002, $57 \%$ of brands representing only $33 \%$ of spending had more exposure to legal-age adults than to underage youth. And in 2001, $58 \%$ of brands that accounted for just $27 \%$ of spending had more legal-age than youth exposure. (See Figure 4.) This trend suggests that it is possible for alcohol companies to craft media buying strategies that will significantly reduce the youth overexposure to alcohol advertising documented in this report.

Figure 4: Percent of Brands Not Overexposing Youth in Magazines and Corresponding Dollars, 2001 to 2003


Sources: TNS Media Intelligence 2003, MRI Spring Adult Studies 2002-2004, MRI TwelvePlus 2001-2003, MRI Teenmark 2001

## Appendix A: Methodology

## Research Process

O ur research methodology followed a process similar to that of a media planner. Wefirst investigated advertising spending for all brands in each alcoholic beverage category. We next used audience research data to quantify the demographic composition of audiences reached with each brand's advertising schedule.

## Counting Ads—Using TNS Media Intelligence

Advertising occurrence data from TN S M edia Intelligence (formerly CM R) were used to identify brand advertising by publication and date. TN S M edia Intelligence measures over 300 publications in addition to television and other media. TNS M edia Intelligence and its predecessor companies have been an advertising industry standard reference for decades.

For this report, only alcoholic beverage product advertising in national or full editions of publications, as measured by M ediamark Research Inc. (MRI), was included. We did not include non-product advertising. Advertising in demographic and regional editions of magazines was omitted since it is not practical to assign a national audience estimate to ads appearing in only a portion of a magazine's circulation.

O nly those publications reported in either the M RI Teenmark survey for 2001, the M RI TwelvePlus surveys for 2001 through 2003, or the M RI Spring 2002 through Spring 2004 Adult Studies were included in the analysis. By restricting this analysis to product advertising in full-run editions of M RI-reported magazines, we tracked $80 \%$ of magazine advertising expenditures in 2001, 85\% in 2002, and $83 \%$ in 2003.


## Measuring Audiences

## Sources of M agazine Audience D ata

M ediamark Research Inc. (M RI), the leading source of U.S. magazine audience estimates for consumer advertising, conducts ongoing studies of adults and teens to estimate publication audiences. These data are published either twice per year (for adults 18+) or annually (for teens). Audience estimates from the following surveys were used for this report:

## 2001 Advertising O ccurrences

- For persons age 18+: Spring 2002 Adult Study (representing surveys from M arch 2001 through M arch 2002)
- For teens ages 12 to 17:Teenmark and TwelvePlus 2001 surveys (representing surveys from the summers of 2000 and 2001). The Teenmark study was used only for $M$ axim, which was not published in the TwelvePlus report.


## 2002 Advertising O ccurrences

- For persons age 18+: Spring 2003 Adult Study (representing surveys from M arch 2002 through M arch 2003)
- For teens ages 12 to 17: TwelvePlus 2002 survey (representing surveys from the summers of 2001 and 2002)


## 2003 Advertising O ccurrences

- For persons age 18+: Spring 2004 Adult Study (representing surveys from M arch 2003 through M arch 2004)
- For teens ages 12 to 17: TwelvePlus 2003 survey (representing surveys from the summers of 2002 and 2003)

While the Spring Adult, Teenmark and TwelvePlus surveys all measure ages 18 to 19 , we used the more widely accepted Spring Adult Study as the source for ages 18 to 19.

## Notes on Magazine Audience Research Methodology

The adult survey methodology uses a "recent reading" technique, as part of a personal interview, that identifies readers in an average issue of each publication. The survey methodology for readers under age 18 (used by the Teenmark and TwelvePlus studies), using a household sampledrawn from the Adult Study, employs a "frequency of reading" process with a mailed questionnaire in which respondents indicate, for those publications they have read or looked into at all within the past six months, how many (out of an average four issues) they have read. The raw data are weighted such that a respondent claiming to have read one out of four issues is assigned a . 25 probability of being in the average audience for the magazine, two out of four a .50 probability, etc. The two surveys represent differing methodologies, a common feature of advertiser-supported media surveys, but they are also the most commonly accepted and used magazine audience surveys for their respective markets.

## Ad Exposure Measures

To calculate audience delivery, we credited publication audience estimates for discrete demographic cells for each publication issue in which a brand was advertised. We did not credit multiple insertions for a given brand within a single issue, for to do so would overstate audience exposure. We did not differentiate between ad type(size, color, etc.), sincedifferential advertising impact measures based on advertising unit are not universally accepted.

## Reach Calculations

Audience reach estimates were calculated using IM S (InteractiveM arket Systems) print media evaluation applications and M RI Spring Adult, TwelvePlus and Teenmark studies. IM S is the leading provider of print media evaluation software for advertising.

## Alcohol Category and Brand Classifications

C ategory and brand classifications were defined by Impact, an industry reference published by M. Shanken Communications Inc., so that the categories and brands represented in this report would be consistent with the classifications used by the industry. The industry itself is experiencing significant change, primarily in the relatively new alcopop or "malternative" beverages, which are derived from different bases (most commonly malt). These are frequently marketed through joint agreements between distillers and brewers, and have been grouped by Impact in recent years either as "low-alcohol refreshers" (2002) or as "ready-to-drink flavored alcoholic beverages" (2003 and 2004). 36

## Magazines and Ads Not Counted

Certain publications with significant alcohol advertising as tracked by TN S M edia Intelligence are not currently measured by M RI and were therefore not included in this analysis.

Of the 153 magazines with alcohol product advertising in 2001 monitored by TN S M edia Intelligence, 98 were measured for media audiences by M RI. For 2002 there were 146 monitored magazines with alcohol advertising, of which 108 had national editions that were measured by M RI; for 2003 there were 140 monitored magazines with alcohol advertising, of which 106 had national editions that were measured by M RI. The unmeasured publications represent $\$ 51.0, \$ 50.8$ and $\$ 55.0$ million in product advertising for alcoholic beverages in 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively, or $13.7 \%, 12.9 \%$ and $14.4 \%$ of all magazine product advertising reported by TN S M edia Intelligence.

Audiences for youth ages 12 to 17 for many publications with substantial alcohol advertising are not measured by M RI; for these publications data are only available for ages 18 and older. Because this analysis is based only on published, industry-accepted survey data, we have not attempted to estimate the age 12 to 17 audiences for those publications although all may be presumed to have at least some readership under the age of 18.

An unknown amount is spent on many publications that were not monitored by TN S M edia Intelligence or measured by M RI for all three years of advertising tracked in this report, such as Blender, XXL, Smooth, King, and many more.

[^12]
## Appendix B: Glossary of Advertising Terms

Advertising exposure is most commonly measured in terms of reach, frequency and rating points. We have provided a glossary of terms for those unfamiliar with this terminology. This report makes use of publication readership data, which are based on audiences, not magazinecirculation. Circulation refers to the number of issues purchased or distributed; audience refers to the average number of readers, typically three to 10 times as great as circulation.

## Target Audience(s)

The target audience for advertising provides a description of the demographics (age, gender, income, etc) of the people the advertiser seeks to reach with its message.

## Reach

Reach is used to describe the number or percentage of a target population that has the potential to see an ad or a campaign through readership of selected media.

## Frequency

Frequency indicates the number of times individuals are exposed to an ad or campaign; it is most often expressed as an average number of exposures.

## Rating Points

Rating points, or GRPs (gross rating points), provide a measure of total advertising exposure and incorporate the impact of both reach and frequency. O ne rating point equals the number of exposures equivalent to $1 \%$ of a target population, and it may include repeat exposures. In advertising math, reach x frequency $=$ GRPs: 75 reach (\% of the
potential audience) $\times 6.8$ frequency (average number of exposures) $=510$ GRPs or rating points.

## Composition

Composition is a measure of audience concentration for a particular demographic. If $41 \%$ of Vibe's audience is between the ages of 12 and 20 , then 12-to- 20 age composition of Vibe is $41 \%$.

## Compostion Index

Composition index (or audience concentration relative to population) is a statistic that compares the demographic composition of a magazine audience to the composition of the base population. If a magazine's composition is greater than the population for a particular age cell, the index is greater than 100; if it is less than the population, it is less than 100.

```
t+t
```

Appendix C: Publications and Ads Tracked for This Report

| Publication | 2001 Ads | 2002 Ads | 2003 Ads | Total | Publication 2 | 2001 Ads | 2002 Ads | 2003 Ads | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allure | 8 | 7 | 8 | 23 | Midwest Living |  | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| American Photo | 13 | 9 | 11 | 33 | Modern Bride |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| American Way | 3 | 3 |  | 6 | Money | 16 | 12 | 20 | 48 |
| Architectural Digest | 10 | 18 | 19 | 47 | Motor Boating |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Atlantic Monthly | 19 | 8 | 15 | 42 | Motor Trend | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| Audubon | 1 |  |  | 1 | National Geographic Travele | er 4 | 6 | 10 | 20 |
| Automobile | 8 | 19 | 6 | 33 | Natural History | 8 | 10 | 6 | 24 |
| Backpacker |  | 1 |  | 1 | New York Magazine | 49 | 32 | 16 | 97 |
| Barrons | 2 | 14 |  | 16 | Newsweek | 12 | 8 | 3 | 23 |
| Bassmaster |  | 7 | 23 | 30 | O, Oprah Magazine |  | 21 | 11 | 32 |
| Better Homes and Gardens |  | 3 |  | 3 | Outdoor Life | 25 | 18 | 5 | 48 |
| Bicycling |  | 2 | 5 | 7 | Outside | 37 | 28 | 15 | 80 |
| Biography | 3 | 12 |  | 15 | PC Magazine | 10 | 7 | 5 | 22 |
| Black Enterprise | 33 | 30 | 38 | 101 | Penthouse | 12 | 7 |  | 19 |
| Bon Appetit | 68 | 58 | 35 | 161 | People | 44 | 25 | 9 | 78 |
| Bridal Guide | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | Playboy | 180 | 138 | 102 | 420 |
| Brides | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Popular Mechanics | 17 | 15 | 15 | 47 |
| Business Week | 22 | 27 | 45 | 94 | Popular Photography | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Car \& Driver | 10 | 7 | 6 | 23 | Popular Science | 9 | 12 | 9 | 30 |
| Coastal Living | 3 | 4 | 7 | 14 | Premiere | 36 | 47 | 43 | 126 |
| Conde Nast Traveler | 31 | 27 | 42 | 100 | Prevention |  | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Cooking Light | 24 | 24 | 19 | 67 | Real Simple |  | 9 | 9 | 18 |
| Cosmopolitan | 99 | 94 | 68 | 261 | Redbook | 7 | 8 | 2 | 11 |
| Country Weekly | 14 | 17 |  | 31 | Road \& Track | 7 | 1 | 4 | 12 |
| Discover | 12 | 7 | 8 | 27 | Rolling Stone | 198 | 136 | 112 | 446 |
| Ducks Unlimited | 5 | 5 | 4 | 14 | Runners World | 3 | 3 | 8 | 14 |
| Ebony | 32 | 27 | 25 | 84 | Scientific American | 9 | 6 | 5 | 20 |
| Elle | 39 | 27 | 4 | 70 | Self | 10 | 13 | 12 | 35 |
| Elle Décor | 15 | 14 | 15 | 44 | Shape |  | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Entertainment Weekly | 137 | 101 | 94 | 332 | Ski Magazine | 34 | 49 | 31 | 114 |
| ESPN The Magazine | 138 | 130 | 73 | 341 | Skiing | 51 | 39 | 38 | 128 |
| Esquire | 77 | 63 | 78 | 218 | Smart Money | 11 | 7 | 8 | 26 |
| Essence | 26 | 17 | 16 | 59 | Smithsonian | 17 | 13 | 6 | 36 |
| Family Handyman |  | 6 | 2 | 8 | Southern Accents | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| FHM Magazine |  | 105 | 115 | 220 | Southern Living | 9 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
| Field \& Stream | 32 | 28 | 21 | 81 | Spin | 81 | 56 | 48 | 185 |
| Fitness |  |  | 3 | 3 | Spirit |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Food \& Wine | 141 | 174 | 114 | 429 | Sporting News | 46 | 37 | 71 | 154 |
| Forbes | 72 | 51 | 39 | 162 | Sports Illustrated | 156 | 159 | 148 | 463 |
| Fortune | 50 | 44 | 46 | 140 | Stuff |  | 120 | 112 | 232 |
| Glamour | 21 | 10 | 8 | 39 | Sunset | 17 | 20 | 9 | 46 |
| Golf Digest | 28 | 36 | 38 | 102 | Tennis | 14 | 5 | 7 | 26 |
| Golf M agazine | 48 | 62 | 81 | 191 | Texas Monthly | 48 | 33 | 34 | 115 |
| Golf World |  | 4 |  | 4 | The New Yorker | 76 | 94 | 101 | 271 |
| Gourmet | 37 | 58 | 63 | 158 | The Source |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| GQ | 140 | 150 | 134 | 424 | This Old House |  | 9 | 6 | 15 |
| Harpers Bazaar | 24 | 13 | 2 | 39 | Time | 10 | 18 | 23 | 51 |
| Health | 4 |  |  | 4 | Town \& Country | 4 | 8 | 12 | 24 |
| Hot Rod |  |  | 2 | 2 | Traditional Home |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| House \& Garden | 15 | 16 | 25 | 56 | Travel \& Leisure | 50 | 54 | 48 | 152 |
| House Beautiful | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 | Travel Holiday | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| InStyle | 116 | 108 | 67 | 291 | US News\&World Report |  | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Inc. | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | Us Weekly | 67 | 59 | 67 | 193 |
| $J$ ane |  | 49 | 33 | 82 | Vanity Fair | 59 | 82 | 84 | 225 |
| J et | 40 | 31 | 31 | 102 | Vibe | 52 | 60 | 81 | 193 |
| Kiplingers Personal Finance | e 6 | 1 | 7 | 14 | Victoria | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Marie Claire | 43 | 35 | 30 | 108 | Vogue | 49 | 47 | 43 | 139 |
| M artha Stewart Living | 23 | 36 | 14 | 73 | W Magazine |  |  | 48 | 48 |
| Maxim | 172 | 157 | 124 | 453 | Wired |  |  | 13 | 13 |
| Men's Fitness | 3 | 2 | 6 | 11 | Yachting | 2 | 5 | 7 | 14 |
| Men's Health |  | 2 | 6 | 8 | Yahoo! Internet Life | 49 |  |  | 49 |
| Men's J ournal | 121 | 64 | 55 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan Home | 14 | 12 | 13 | 39 | Total | 3,616 | 3,598 | 3,241 | 10,455 |

Source: TNS Media Intelligence 2001-2003


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For this report, unless otherwise noted, youth are defined as persons ages 12 to 20 , and adults are defined as persons age 21 and over.
    2 Underage youth are more likely to see on a per capita basis, or be "overexposed" to, a magazine ad for alcohol when it is placed in a publication where the percentage of underage youth in the readership is greater than the percentage of underage youth in the general population. (In 2003, for example, this meant that youth were overexposed to ads in magazines where underage youth were more than $15.18 \%$ of the readership.) "More likely to be read by" (as well as percentage measures of youth overexposure and other comparisons of adult and youth exposure to alcohol advertising in this report) is based on "gross rating points," which measure how much an audience segment is exposed to advertising per capita. Another way of measuring advertising exposure is "gross impressions" (the total number of times all members of a given audience are exposed to advertising). The adult population will almost always receive far more "gross impressions" than youth because there are far more adults in the popuration than youth. Gross rating points are calculated by dividing gross impressions by the relevant population (e.g. persons 21 and over) and multiplying by 100. See Appendix B for a glossary of terms used in this report.
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